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Philanthropy is changing. Our increasingly data-driven world gives funders the opportunity to give smarter.

Data is changing the way people navigate the world, and the way that organizations think about problems. Philanthropy is no exception. More than ever, funders are asking questions and searching for data that could help them plan their grantmaking more strategically: What programs should I be funding? How do I know if my giving portfolio really has a social impact? Have I been using my funds effectively?

Funders know that data should be able to help them answer these questions. But how does a funder move towards data-informed decision making? This brief will highlight:

- The opportunity to use data in each stage of grantmaking
- Some challenges funders face in accessing the data needed for evidence-based decisions
- How shared infrastructure can help funders access the data they need

There are currently challenges to data-informed grantmaking.

At each stage of the grant-making cycle, data could help funders improve their giving practices—but in many cases, the data required to do so are not accessible or reliable. The examples below illustrate some ways that data could help funders answer key questions. They also demonstrate some of the barriers funders face when trying to use data.

WHAT SHOULD I FUND?

Before deciding what to fund, funders may want to know what is already being funded (and by whom), which initiatives are new, and what regions, demographics, or causes are possibly underfunded. Many funders track data on their own programs and store them in internal grants management systems. Some share a summary of this data publicly through their own funder reports, but this is not consistent practice. The federal government tracks and makes public the amounts of grants made by foundations, and the names of their grantees, but currently not in any easily searchable format. Intermediary organizations package government data and create searchable directories, but do not have data on the purposes and outcomes of grants.

Funders are often forced to conduct landscape and gap analyses manually: scanning, combining and collating information from across different sources. Compiling this data is a challenging, time-consuming, and inaccurate process. And when this process is only conducted
internally, other funders may be duplicating their efforts. Without straightforward, reliable access to comprehensive information, funders are not able to use data in an optimal way to inform their decisions.

WHAT KIND OF IMPACT DID MY GRANTEES HAVE?

In many cases, funders collect data on impact by asking grantees to self-assess and provide evaluations. While the qualitative and “small data” evaluation methods used by most nonprofits are valuable for organizational learning and relationship-building, they also have limitations. Grantees have incentive to selectively publish favorable outcomes, which can lead to biased evaluations. It is also resource-intensive to conduct the rigorous research required for meaningful impact evaluation. Obtaining an adequate sample size and tracking outcomes over time requires technical capacity and funds that most nonprofits do not have.

Without the proper research infrastructure, funders are not able to access reliable data to understand the impact of their grantees. It would be unworkable to invest in building this evaluation capacity within every nonprofit. How else, then, might the sector address challenges of gathering good evidence?

Figure 1: Evidence-based decision making and the grantmaking cycle
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What to do about data silos?

The data funders need for evidence-based grantmaking—both pre, and post-investment—is often already being collected by other actors. For instance, funders store grants data in internal grants management systems, but do not publish their data publicly nor share it with other funders. This grants data, when combined across funders, could give a more complete picture of funding across an area of interest and help guide funders’ strategic planning. The barrier to evidence-based decision-making is not a lack of relevant grantmaking data. The issue is that the necessary data are not currently shared between stakeholders.

The data needed for evaluating outcomes can also be found in a larger ecosystem including governments and academic institutions. For example, government holds data on outcomes such as high school graduation rates or community health indices. If the data already exists, the question, then, is: how can funders access the data they need?

Shared infrastructure gives funders access to relevant data.

One way to facilitate better access to relevant data is to build shared data infrastructure. Data infrastructure refers to a system of shared practices and/or digital technologies that allows data to flow through an ecosystem of diverse stakeholders. Data infrastructure can take different forms in philanthropy. Some early examples in the USA and UK are outlined below, offering a glance of the value data can offer the sector.

OPEN GRANTS DATA STANDARDS

Funders around the world are beginning to share grants data publicly. In the UK, an initiative called 360Giving encourages grantmakers to share their grants data as open data: datasets that can be freely downloaded by anyone online, and reused without legal restrictions. Since the initiative launched in 2015, there have been over eighty UK funders publishing open data on 280,000 grants.

The 360Giving data standard is the important piece of infrastructure that allows data to be combined across funders. Data standards are standardized methods for storing and sharing data. When grantmaking data are published in a consistent format, they can be easily combined and analyzed to paint a detailed picture of grantmaking. This, in turn, enables funders to better understand an area of interest.

2 Collaborating for Greater Impact: Building an Integrated Data Ecosystem, Joanne Cave, Tracey Gyateng, Lisa Lalande and Tris Lumley, Mowat NFP (February 2018) Available at: https://mowatcentre.ca/collaborating-for-greater-impact/
In the USA and the UK, new approaches to shared infrastructure are helping funders understand their impact by helping connect them to data about beneficiaries of the programs they fund.

**Data labs**

One approach to shared infrastructure involves connecting data between government, nonprofits, and research centres to understand longer-term beneficiary outcomes. Data labs are research centres that help nonprofits evaluate the impact of their programs. They do this by accessing and analyzing government data on program participants. For example, the UK has infrastructure set up for sharing data between the Ministry of Justice and nonprofits working on prison recidivism initiatives. The Justice Data Lab helps nonprofits analyze data on how many of their clients went back to jail, helping organizations to understand the impact of rehabilitation programs. A funder who supports this kind of intervention could then access unbiased, statistically sound analyses on outcomes for the programs they fund.

**Listen for Good**

Another approach to shared data infrastructure involves collecting feedback directly from beneficiaries—asking them what works, and what doesn’t. The Listen for Good initiative from the Fund for Shared Insight in the USA uses a semi-standardized survey instrument that enables nonprofit service providers to collect feedback from their beneficiaries. The survey involves collection of both qualitative input as well as quantitative, standardized measures. The data collected is put into context for organizations through creation of benchmarks that compare data across organizations by issue area.

The evaluations that emerge—whether through access to existing data, or collection of new data—could help both funders and nonprofits better understand the impact of their funding. Shared data infrastructure presents opportunities not only for funders, but also other stakeholders in the data ecosystem.

**WHAT'S NEXT?**

This brief discusses how evidence-based grantmaking is currently impeded by a lack of funder access to reliable data. The data-sharing initiatives highlighted are just some examples of how shared data infrastructure could help funders better access the information they need to guide their decisions.

This first brief of our Data and Evidence-Based Grantmaking series sets the stage. Companion briefs will do a deep dive into specific examples of how data infrastructure can transform philanthropy—and how funders can bring this into their own work.
Figure 2: How data infrastructure could support funder decision-making

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRE-INVESTMENT</th>
<th>POST-INVESTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Which causes should I be funding?</strong></td>
<td><strong>What data is required, and why?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants data—data on how funds are distributed to grantees—when combined across funders, could give a rich picture of grantmaking across an area of interest and help guide funders’ strategic planning.</td>
<td>Data on the health and social outcomes of beneficiaries can enable a better understanding of the impact of grantee programs. Feedback from beneficiaries themselves can also be used as data to understand how needs are being met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Where is this data held?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Where is this data held?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants data are tracked individually by funders and typically stored on organizational grants management systems. Funders do not usually share these datasets with other funders, or with the public. While the federal government also tracks these data—and makes it publicly available, they do not collect or publish data on the purpose or outcomes of grants.</td>
<td>Data on social outcomes are often held by government as administrative datasets. Feedback from beneficiaries themselves would need to be collected.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXAMPLE OF SHARED INFRASTRUCTURE REQUIRED TO ACCESS DATA**

**Data standards for open grants data**
- When grants data are published as open data, this means the datasets are shared online and made available to the public.
- A data standard is a standardized method for sharing data, that ensures data from different organizations are published in a consistent format.
- Data standards can be applied to grants data: when many funders share their data openly, and in a consistent format, grants data can be combined and analyzed to create a rich understanding of the philanthropic landscape.

**Data labs**: An infrastructure approach can connect data between government and nonprofits. Data labs are research centres that help nonprofits evaluate the impact of their programs by accessing and analyzing government data on program participants in aggregate.

**Shared survey platform**: Semi-standardized survey instruments can enable nonprofit service providers to collect feedback data from beneficiaries and create benchmarks.
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